11/19/2004 17:02 FAX 310 712 8383

BR WN RAYSMAN

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

BAOWN RAYSMAR MILLSTEIN FELDER & STEINERL

NOV 1 9 2004

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

From:

1.

Ivan Posey

Date:

November 19, 2004

Direct Dial:

(310) 712-8311

Client/Matter #:

10665/2

PLEASE DELIVER AS SOON AS POSSIBLE TO:

Recipient

Company

Fax No.

Phone No.

Tien Dinh, Art Unit 3644

USPTO

(703) 872-9327

(703) 308-2798

Total number of pages including this page: .51

If you do not receive all the pages, please call (310) 712-8300.

Message:

Please see the attached:

- 1. Transmittal Form (PTO/SB/21);
- Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8;
- 3. Amendment and Response to Office Action dated 08/19/2004

Please Note: the information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential, and is intended only for use of the individual named above and others who have been specifically authorized to receive it. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, or if any problems occur with transmission, please notify sender or the mail room by telephone: (310) 712-8300. Thank You.

BROWN RAYSMAN MILLSTEIN FELDER & STEINER LLP

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2008. CMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no parsons are required to respond to a collection of Information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM			Application Numl	ber	10/633,347			
			Filing Date		08/04/2003			
r or	First Named Inventor		Jerry D. Lowe					
			Art Unit		3644			
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filling)			Examiner Name		Tien Din	Tien Dinh		
Total Number of Pages in Th			Attorney Docket I	Number	10665/2		$\overline{}$	
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)								
Fee Transmittal Form		Drawing(s			After Allowance Communication to TC			
Fee Attached		Licensing-	related Papers	lated Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment / Reply		Petition		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)				
☐ After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			Proprietary Information			
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			Status Letter			
☐ Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):			
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund				of Fax Transmissio Cover Sheet		
Information Disclosure Statement		CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD						
Certifled Copy of Priority		Remarks						
Document(s)								
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application								
Reply to Missing Parts								
under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53								
	SIGNA	TURE OF A	PPLICANT, ATTO	RNEY, OI	R AGENT			
Flm		Brown Raysman Millstein Felder & Steiner LLP						
Signature								
Printed Name		Ivan Posey						
Date		11/19/2004		Reg. No.	43,865			
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING								
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsImile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.								
Signature							_	
Typed or printed name	Ivan Posev			/ 1	Date	11/19/2004		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PTO/SB/87 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0551-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Roduction Act of 1985, no persons are required to respond to a collection of Information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office

on <u>11/19/2004</u>. Date

Signature

Ivan Posey

Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

43,865

(310) 712-8311

Registration Number if applicable

Telephone Number

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

Transmittal Form (PTO/SB/21); Amendment and Response to Office Action dated 08/19/2004 Facsimile Cover Sheet

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.8. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is eatimated to take 1.8 minutes to complete, including gethering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form end/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

NOV 1 9 2004

PATENT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10665/2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Lowe et al.

Serial No.:

10/633,347

Examiner: Tien Dinh

Filed:

August 4, 2003

Group Art Unit: 3644

Title:

FLYING CAMERA AND SENSOR MECHANIZED LIFT

PLATFORM

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

This is responsive to the Final Office action of August 19, 2004. The Applicants would like to thank the examiner for the courtesy extended to their Counsel in a telephone conference held with the Examiner on August 26, 2004.

CONTENTS

An Authorization to Debit Account begins on page 2 of this paper.

An Examiner Interview Summery begins on page 3 of this paper.

Amendments To The Claims begin on page 5 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 7 of this paper.

A Conclusion begins on page 15 of this paper.

An <u>Appendix A</u> including a mark up version of the substitute Specification and amended drawing sheets of Figures 1-3 is attached following page 15 of this paper.

An <u>Appendix B</u> including the originally filed version of the Specification and original drawing sheets of Figures 1-3 is attached following Appendix A of this paper.

Atty Docket No.: 10665/2 Serial No. 10/633,347

AUTHORIZATION TO DEBIT ACCOUNT

It is not believed that any extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required. However, in the event that any extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a), and any fees required therefore (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to Brown Raysman's Deposit Account Number 502811.

Atty Docket No.: 10665/2 Serial No. 10/633,347

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

The Applicants would like to thank the examiner for the courtesy extended to their Counsel in a telephone conference held with the Examiner on August 26, 2004. Applicants' Counsel and the Examiner discussed the Examiner's position that the amendments made to the Specification allegedly constitute new matter under 35 U.S.C. § 132. Applicants' Counsel stated that any changes to the Specification are supported by the originally filed Specification.

Applicants' Counsel stated that, to the extent that there is some language that is not exactly stated in the Application, as originally filed, in the determination of what constitutes new matter, M.P.E.P. § 2163.07(a) needs to be taken into account. Namely, if there is anything added that was not specifically stated in the original specification, if the additional text describes an "inherent function, theory, or advantage" regarding the originally filed specification, then the additional text does not constitute new matter. The Examiner agreed that an explanation of how the changes were supported by the original specification would be helpful in the response to the Final Office Action.

Next, Applicants' Counsel and the Examiner discussed the 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶1 rejection. The Examiner took the position that he could not tell how the drive mechanism worked. Applicants' Counsel stated that the Examiner's own 35 U.S.C. § 103 rejection states that the list of mechanisms provided by the Applicants are "obvious," which would indicate that one skilled in the art would know how those listed mechanisms could be employed to provide the linear motion of the lift platform.

Finally, Applicants' Counsel addressed the 35 U.S.C. § 103 rejection. Applicants' Counsel stated that the crux of the invention is not the drive mechanism, but the combination defined in the claims to produce a more rigid structure than that described in the Sibley reference

3

Atty Docket No.: 10665/2 Serial No. 10/633,347

cited by the Final Office Action. The linear guides of the invention are not shown or described by Sibley. The Examiner stated that a clarification in the claims of how the mechanism moves along the linear guides as shown in the drawings may be helpful to define over Sibley in that respect.

Accordingly, Applicants submit that all of the objections and rejections have been addressed in this Amendment and Response. Specifically, the Applicants have followed the Examiner's instructions to clarify the support for their amendments to the Specification and the claims, and the Applicants have amended the claims to more clearly define the invention over *Sibley* by amending independent claims 15, and 27 (in substance), to contain language that reads "a linear guide that is connected to the mounting structure, wherein the linear guide is engaged by the platform and comprises a linear structure disposed in parallel to a linear movement path of the platform to stabilize and direct linear movement of the platform."